Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's completeness theorem

Christian Espíndola

Postdoctoral researcher at Masaryk University

June 29th, 2018

Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

A point of a topos \mathcal{E} is a geometric morphism $\mathcal{S}et \to \mathcal{E}$. The topos has enough points if the collection of points is jointly surjective, i.e., the inverse images of the points are jointly conservative.

A point of a topos \mathcal{E} is a geometric morphism $\mathcal{S}et \to \mathcal{E}$. The topos has enough points if the collection of points is jointly surjective, i.e., the inverse images of the points are jointly conservative. This means that for two subobjects S, T of a given object, we have:

 $S \leq T \iff p^*(S) \leq p^*(T)$ for every point p

A point of a topos \mathcal{E} is a geometric morphism $\mathcal{S}et \to \mathcal{E}$. The topos has enough points if the collection of points is jointly surjective, i.e., the inverse images of the points are jointly conservative. This means that for two subobjects S, T of a given object, we have:

$$S \leq T \iff p^*(S) \leq p^*(T)$$
 for every point p

Theorem

(Deligne) A coherent topos has enough points.

A point of a topos \mathcal{E} is a geometric morphism $\mathcal{S}et \to \mathcal{E}$. The topos has enough points if the collection of points is jointly surjective, i.e., the inverse images of the points are jointly conservative. This means that for two subobjects S, T of a given object, we have:

$$S \leq T \iff p^*(S) \leq p^*(T)$$
 for every point p

Theorem

(Deligne) A coherent topos has enough points.

Essentially equivalent to Gödel completeness theorem for first-order classical logic.

Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

æ

Theorem

(Makkai-Reyes) A separable topos has enough points.

Theorem

(Makkai-Reyes) A separable topos has enough points.

Essentially equivalent to Karp completeness theorem for infinitary classical logic over $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega}$. It also entails completeness of countably axiomatized geometric theories.

Theorem

(Makkai-Reyes) A separable topos has enough points.

Essentially equivalent to Karp completeness theorem for infinitary classical logic over $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega}$. It also entails completeness of countably axiomatized geometric theories.

GOAL: Replace ω by any cardinal κ with $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$, obtaining thereby a completeness theorem for infinitary classical logic over $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa^+,\kappa}$, and moreover, for an intuitionistic fragment that we call κ -geometric.

Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

• • • • • • • •

< 行

 $\bullet\,$ Arities of cardinality less than $\kappa\,$

- $\bullet\,$ Arities of cardinality less than $\kappa\,$
- \bullet Conjunction of less than κ many formulas

- Arities of cardinality less than κ
- Conjunction of less than κ many formulas
- Existential quantification of less than κ many variables

- Arities of cardinality less than κ
- Conjunction of less than κ many formulas
- Existential quantification of less than κ many variables
- More logical axioms or rules are needed.

- Arities of cardinality less than κ
- Conjunction of less than κ many formulas
- Existential quantification of less than κ many variables
- More logical axioms or rules are needed.

Example: the theory of well-orderings:

- Arities of cardinality less than κ
- Conjunction of less than κ many formulas
- Existential quantification of less than κ many variables
- More logical axioms or rules are needed.

Example: the theory of well-orderings:

$$\top \vdash_{xy} x < y \lor y < x \lor x = y$$

$$\exists x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots \bigwedge_{n \in \omega} x_{n+1} < x_n \vdash \bot$$

- Arities of cardinality less than κ
- Conjunction of less than κ many formulas
- Existential quantification of less than κ many variables
- More logical axioms or rules are needed.

Example: the theory of well-orderings:

$$\top \vdash_{xy} x < y \lor y < x \lor x = y$$

$$\exists x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots \bigwedge_{n \in \omega} x_{n+1} < x_n \vdash \bot$$

López-Escobar: the theory of well-orderings is not axiomatizable in $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\omega}$ for any κ .

Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

• • • • • • • •

To summarize:

• More expressive power will require more axioms

- More expressive power will require more axioms
- The new axioms in the $\kappa\mbox{-geometric}$ fragment takes the form of a rule of inference

- More expressive power will require more axioms
- The new axioms in the κ -geometric fragment takes the form of a rule of inference
- The rule will correspond to an exactness property of the associated category

- More expressive power will require more axioms
- The new axioms in the κ -geometric fragment takes the form of a rule of inference
- The rule will correspond to an exactness property of the associated category
- This gives rise to κ -geometric categories

- More expressive power will require more axioms
- The new axioms in the κ -geometric fragment takes the form of a rule of inference
- The rule will correspond to an exactness property of the associated category
- This gives rise to κ -geometric categories
- κ -geometric categories will have a κ -classifying topos

To summarize:

- More expressive power will require more axioms
- The new axioms in the κ -geometric fragment takes the form of a rule of inference
- The rule will correspond to an exactness property of the associated category
- This gives rise to κ -geometric categories
- κ -geometric categories will have a κ -classifying topos

This leads us to the notion of κ -separable topos.

To summarize:

- More expressive power will require more axioms
- The new axioms in the κ-geometric fragment takes the form of a rule of inference
- The rule will correspond to an exactness property of the associated category
- This gives rise to κ -geometric categories
- κ -geometric categories will have a κ -classifying topos

This leads us to the notion of κ -separable topos.

A κ -separable topos is a topos of sheaves for which there is a site on a category with κ -small limits, at most κ many objects and morphisms, where the basis for the Grothendieck topology is generated by at most κ -many covering families and satisfying a further exactness property T.

To summarize:

- More expressive power will require more axioms
- The new axioms in the κ -geometric fragment takes the form of a rule of inference
- The rule will correspond to an exactness property of the associated category
- This gives rise to κ -geometric categories
- κ -geometric categories will have a κ -classifying topos

This leads us to the notion of κ -separable topos.

A κ -separable topos is a topos of sheaves for which there is a site on a category with κ -small limits, at most κ many objects and morphisms, where the basis for the Grothendieck topology is generated by at most κ -many covering families and satisfying a further exactness property T.

Theorem

(E.) κ -separable toposes have enough κ -points.

Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

Given a locale *L*, we would like to define points that in addition preserve κ -small intersections.

Given a locale L, we would like to define points that in addition preserve κ -small intersections. These correspond to κ -complete, completely prime filters of L.

Given a locale L, we would like to define points that in addition preserve κ -small intersections. These correspond to κ -complete, completely prime filters of L.

This leads us to a strong distributivity property:

$$\frac{\phi_{f} \vdash_{\mathbf{x}} \bigvee_{\substack{g \in \gamma^{\beta+1}, g|_{\beta} = f \\ \phi_{f} \dashv \vdash_{\mathbf{x}} \bigwedge_{\alpha < \beta} \phi_{f|_{\alpha}} \quad \beta < \gamma, \text{ limit } \beta, f \in \gamma^{\beta}}{\phi_{\emptyset} \vdash_{\mathbf{x}} \bigvee_{f \in B} \bigwedge_{\beta < \delta_{f}} \phi_{f|_{\beta+1}}}$$

Given a locale L, we would like to define points that in addition preserve κ -small intersections. These correspond to κ -complete, completely prime filters of L.

This leads us to a strong distributivity property:

$$\frac{\phi_{f} \vdash_{\mathbf{x}} \bigvee_{\substack{g \in \gamma^{\beta+1}, g|_{\beta} = f \\ \phi_{f} \dashv \vdash_{\mathbf{x}} \bigwedge_{\alpha < \beta} \phi_{f|_{\alpha}}} \phi_{g} \quad \beta < \gamma, \text{ limit } \beta, f \in \gamma^{\beta}}{\phi_{\emptyset} \vdash_{\mathbf{x}} \bigvee_{f \in B} \bigwedge_{\beta < \delta_{f}} \phi_{f|_{\beta+1}}}$$

This distributivity property implies κ -distributivity:

$$\bigwedge_{i<\gamma}\bigvee_{j<\gamma}a_{ij}\rightarrow\bigvee_{f\in\gamma^{\gamma}}\bigwedge_{i<\gamma}a_{if(i)}$$

for each $\gamma < \kappa$. But it is strictly stronger than this,

Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

Given a locale L, we would like to define points that in addition preserve κ -small intersections. These correspond to κ -complete, completely prime filters of L.

This leads us to a strong distributivity property:

$$\frac{\phi_{f} \vdash_{\mathbf{x}} \bigvee_{\substack{g \in \gamma^{\beta+1}, g|_{\beta} = f \\ \phi_{f} \dashv \vdash_{\mathbf{x}} \bigwedge_{\alpha < \beta} \phi_{f|_{\alpha}}} \phi_{g} \quad \beta < \gamma, \text{ limit } \beta, f \in \gamma^{\beta}}{\phi_{\emptyset} \vdash_{\mathbf{x}} \bigvee_{f \in B} \bigwedge_{\beta < \delta_{f}} \phi_{f|_{\beta+1}}}$$

This distributivity property implies κ -distributivity:

$$\bigwedge_{i<\gamma}\bigvee_{j<\gamma}a_{ij}\rightarrow\bigvee_{f\in\gamma^{\gamma}}\bigwedge_{i<\gamma}a_{if(i)}$$

for each $\gamma < \kappa$. But it is strictly stronger than this. Example: $([0,1],\leq)_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}}$
Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

Image: A matrix and a matrix

æ

The strong distributivity property is the missing rule of inference: although there are geometric theories which are consistent and have no models, the addition of the distributivity property helps to derive $\top \vdash \bot$.

The strong distributivity property is the missing rule of inference: although there are geometric theories which are consistent and have no models, the addition of the distributivity property helps to derive $\top \vdash \bot$. Example: given an uncountable set *A*, the theory of injections $f : A \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ does not have models:

Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

The strong distributivity property is the missing rule of inference: although there are geometric theories which are consistent and have no models, the addition of the distributivity property helps to derive $\top \vdash \bot$. Example: given an uncountable set A, the theory of injections $f : A \to \mathbb{N}$

does not have models:

$$P_{a,n} \wedge P_{a,m} \vdash \bot$$
 for all n, m, a with $n \neq m$
 $P_{a,n} \wedge P_{b,n} \vdash \bot$ for all n, a, b with $a \neq b$
 $\top \vdash \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_{a,n}$ for all $a \in A$

The strong distributivity property is the missing rule of inference: although there are geometric theories which are consistent and have no models, the addition of the distributivity property helps to derive $\top \vdash \bot$. Example: given an uncountable set A, the theory of injections $f : A \to \mathbf{N}$

does not have models:

$$P_{a,n} \wedge P_{a,m} \vdash \bot$$
 for all n, m, a with $n \neq m$
 $P_{a,n} \wedge P_{b,n} \vdash \bot$ for all n, a, b with $a \neq b$
 $\top \vdash \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} P_{a,n}$ for all $a \in A$

As a geometric theory, it is consistent. However, considered as a κ -geometric propositional theory, it is inconsistent.

Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

• the restriction $\Gamma|_{\beta}$ is a limit diagram for every limit ordinal β .

• the restriction $\Gamma|_{\beta}$ is a limit diagram for every limit ordinal β .

We say that the morphisms $h_{\beta,\alpha}$ compose transfinitely, and take the limit projection $f_{\beta,0}$ to be the transfinite composite of $h_{\alpha+1,\alpha}$ for $\alpha < \beta$.

• the restriction $\Gamma|_{\beta}$ is a limit diagram for every limit ordinal β .

We say that the morphisms $h_{\beta,\alpha}$ compose transfinitely, and take the limit projection $f_{\beta,0}$ to be the transfinite composite of $h_{\alpha+1,\alpha}$ for $\alpha < \beta$. There is an exactness condition on C that we call *transfinite transitivity*: if we have a κ -tree of morphisms of C where the immediate successors of every node form a jointly covering family, then the transfinite composites of the morphisms along all possible cofinal branches of the tree forms itself a jointly covering family.

The exactness property T

We have now:

Image: A matrix

The exactness property T

We have now:

Definition

A κ -geometric category is a category with κ -small limits, complete subobject lattices with stable unions and that satisfies the property T(transfinite transitivity property), i.e., the transfinite composites up to κ of jointly covering families of morphisms is itself jointly covering.

The exactness property T

We have now:

Definition

A κ -geometric category is a category with κ -small limits, complete subobject lattices with stable unions and that satisfies the property T(transfinite transitivity property), i.e., the transfinite composites up to κ of jointly covering families of morphisms is itself jointly covering.

The property T corresponds to the logical rule of inference:

$$\begin{split} & \phi_{f} \vdash_{\mathbf{y}_{f}} \bigvee_{\substack{g \in \gamma^{\beta+1}, g|_{\beta} = f \\ g \in \gamma^{\beta+1}, g|_{\beta} = f \\ \phi_{f} \dashv \vdash_{\mathbf{y}_{f}} \bigwedge_{\alpha < \beta} \phi_{f|_{\alpha}} \quad \beta < \kappa, \text{ limit } \beta, f \in \gamma^{\beta} \\ \hline & \frac{}{\phi_{\emptyset} \vdash_{\mathbf{y}_{\emptyset}} \bigvee_{f \in B} \exists_{\beta < \delta_{f}} \mathbf{x}_{f|_{\beta+1}} \bigwedge_{\beta < \delta_{f}} \phi_{f|_{\beta+1}}} \end{split}$$

Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

< 1 k

æ

Examples of κ -geometric categories:

• Set

э

- Set
- Presheaf toposes

- Set
- Presheaf toposes
- Sheaves on a site with a κ -Grothendieck topology: site on a category with κ -small limits and where transfinite composites of covering families are covering

- Set
- Presheaf toposes
- Sheaves on a site with a κ -Grothendieck topology: site on a category with κ -small limits and where transfinite composites of covering families are covering
- The syntactic category of any κ -geometric theory

Examples of κ -geometric categories:

- Set
- Presheaf toposes
- Sheaves on a site with a κ -Grothendieck topology: site on a category with κ -small limits and where transfinite composites of covering families are covering
- The syntactic category of any κ -geometric theory

There is a way of generating enough examples of κ -separable toposes:

• Start with a category of size at most κ with $\kappa\text{-small}$ limits

Examples of κ -geometric categories:

- Set
- Presheaf toposes
- Sheaves on a site with a κ -Grothendieck topology: site on a category with κ -small limits and where transfinite composites of covering families are covering
- The syntactic category of any κ -geometric theory

There is a way of generating enough examples of κ -separable toposes:

- Start with a category of size at most κ with $\kappa\text{-small}$ limits
- Choose κ many families over each object

Examples of κ -geometric categories:

- Set
- Presheaf toposes
- Sheaves on a site with a κ -Grothendieck topology: site on a category with κ -small limits and where transfinite composites of covering families are covering
- The syntactic category of any κ -geometric theory

There is a way of generating enough examples of κ -separable toposes:

- Start with a category of size at most κ with $\kappa\text{-small}$ limits
- Choose κ many families over each object
- Generate a κ -topology using property T as a closure condition on the chosen families (this takes κ^+ iterations)

Examples of κ -geometric categories:

- Set
- Presheaf toposes
- Sheaves on a site with a κ -Grothendieck topology: site on a category with κ -small limits and where transfinite composites of covering families are covering
- The syntactic category of any κ -geometric theory

There is a way of generating enough examples of κ -separable toposes:

- Start with a category of size at most κ with $\kappa\text{-small}$ limits
- Choose κ many families over each object
- Generate a κ -topology using property T as a closure condition on the chosen families (this takes κ^+ iterations)

Examples of κ -geometric categories:

- Set
- Presheaf toposes
- Sheaves on a site with a κ -Grothendieck topology: site on a category with κ -small limits and where transfinite composites of covering families are covering
- The syntactic category of any κ -geometric theory

There is a way of generating enough examples of κ -separable toposes:

- Start with a category of size at most κ with $\kappa\text{-small}$ limits
- Choose κ many families over each object
- Generate a κ -topology using property T as a closure condition on the chosen families (this takes κ^+ iterations)

Example/exercise: Any Grothendieck topology is an ω -topology (property T is trivially satisfied).

Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

• κ -separable toposes have enough κ -points

- κ -separable toposes have enough κ -points
- Its associated completeness theorem for $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa^+,\kappa}$ is best possible in terms of the cardinalities of the theories involved

- κ -separable toposes have enough κ -points
- Its associated completeness theorem for $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa^+,\kappa}$ is best possible in terms of the cardinalities of the theories involved
- They coincide with the κ -classifying toposes of κ -geometric theories with at most κ many axioms in canonical form

- κ -separable toposes have enough κ -points
- Its associated completeness theorem for $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa^+,\kappa}$ is best possible in terms of the cardinalities of the theories involved
- They coincide with the κ -classifying toposes of κ -geometric theories with at most κ many axioms in canonical form
- When κ is weakly compact, this is precisely κ -Deligne's theorem. It is essentially equivalent to Karp's completeness theorem for $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\kappa}$

Christian Espíndola (Postdoctoral researcher Infinitary generalizations of Deligne's complet

• When κ is weakly compact, the completeness of κ -coherent theories allows to generalize to the infinitary case Joyal's completeness theorem for intuitionistic first-order logic

- When κ is weakly compact, the completeness of κ-coherent theories allows to generalize to the infinitary case Joyal's completeness theorem for intuitionistic first-order logic
- When κ is weakly compact, Stone type dualities for distributive lattices can be generalized to the infinitary case obtaining as a result completeness of infinitary intuitionistic first-order logic over $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa^+,\kappa}$

- When κ is weakly compact, the completeness of κ-coherent theories allows to generalize to the infinitary case Joyal's completeness theorem for intuitionistic first-order logic
- When κ is weakly compact, Stone type dualities for distributive lattices can be generalized to the infinitary case obtaining as a result completeness of infinitary intuitionistic first-order logic over $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa^+,\kappa}$
- When κ is strongly compact, the completeness theorem provides a generalization to the infinitary case of Makkai's Stone duality for first-order logic, under suitable large cardinal assumptions

- When κ is weakly compact, the completeness of κ-coherent theories allows to generalize to the infinitary case Joyal's completeness theorem for intuitionistic first-order logic
- When κ is weakly compact, Stone type dualities for distributive lattices can be generalized to the infinitary case obtaining as a result completeness of infinitary intuitionistic first-order logic over L_{κ⁺,κ}
- When κ is strongly compact, the completeness theorem provides a generalization to the infinitary case of Makkai's Stone duality for first-order logic, under suitable large cardinal assumptions
- Using Stone duality for infinitary first-order logic it is possible to prove a descent theorem for κ -pretoposes. This entails both a local and a global definability theorem for infinitary logic

- When κ is weakly compact, the completeness of κ-coherent theories allows to generalize to the infinitary case Joyal's completeness theorem for intuitionistic first-order logic
- When κ is weakly compact, Stone type dualities for distributive lattices can be generalized to the infinitary case obtaining as a result completeness of infinitary intuitionistic first-order logic over $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa^+,\kappa}$
- When κ is strongly compact, the completeness theorem provides a generalization to the infinitary case of Makkai's Stone duality for first-order logic, under suitable large cardinal assumptions
- Using Stone duality for infinitary first-order logic it is possible to prove a descent theorem for κ -pretoposes. This entails both a local and a global definability theorem for infinitary logic
- The κ-classifying topos allows to generalize to the infinitary case Awodey-Forssell duality for decidable κ-coherent categories

- When κ is weakly compact, the completeness of κ-coherent theories allows to generalize to the infinitary case Joyal's completeness theorem for intuitionistic first-order logic
- When κ is weakly compact, Stone type dualities for distributive lattices can be generalized to the infinitary case obtaining as a result completeness of infinitary intuitionistic first-order logic over $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa^+,\kappa}$
- When κ is strongly compact, the completeness theorem provides a generalization to the infinitary case of Makkai's Stone duality for first-order logic, under suitable large cardinal assumptions
- Using Stone duality for infinitary first-order logic it is possible to prove a descent theorem for κ -pretoposes. This entails both a local and a global definability theorem for infinitary logic
- The κ-classifying topos allows to generalize to the infinitary case Awodey-Forssell duality for decidable κ-coherent categories
Thank you!

-∢ ≣⇒

æ